Dear Mrs. Spelman,

We are writing to you to express our concerns on the guidance by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for the environmental risk assessment of genetically modified (GM) plants. This was published in November 2010, is currently discussed at Standing Committee level and awaits adoption by EU countries.

Whilst it is positive that for the first time this environmental risk assessment guidance will be legally binding, the methodology put forward by EFSA is not sufficiently rigorous. Once again, there is a risk that the EU Directive on the Deliberate Release of GMOs (2001/18/EC) will not be properly implemented, and that the Environment Council’s 2008 Conclusions on the need to improve the risk assessment will continue to be ignored.

Choosing the right methodology for the risk assessment of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) is scientifically controversial. As a supposedly neutral EU agency, EFSA should have acknowledged this. However, in certain crucial parts of its new guidance, EFSA simply takes up the methodology favoured by scientists who work closely with the agro-biotech industry. If the draft guidance were adopted by Ministers as it stands, companies wishing to commercialise their GM plants in the EU could determine essential elements of risk assessment, allowing them quick and easy product approval.

The annex of this letter contains a detailed analysis for consideration by your experts. We have listed the most problematic parts in the new guidance, and the methodological improvements that we believe are necessary. European scientists and NGOs have already submitted their critiques on previous versions of the guidance to EFSA. While some of them have been included, crucial comments on essential parts of the guidance have not been taken into consideration.

We ask you to substantially improve the guidance. Otherwise, it will be “business as usual” with EFSA continuing to rubber-stamp industry data without ensuring thorough testing, and it will be impossible for Member States to justify GMO environmental risk assessments to their citizens. Once the text of the guidance is strengthened, it is of utmost importance that you ensure that it is rigorously implemented: EFSA has to improve its assessments in practice, when formulating its opinions on the safety of GM crops.

Finally, as you know, the approval of GMOs does not only require strict environmental risk assessment, but also risk management, as well as the correct implementation of all aspects of EU

---

3. See the parts of the EFSA guidance introducing problem formulation (EFSA Guidance, section 2.2.1.) and comparative assessment (EFSA Guidance, Figure 1, p.11 and Chapter 2.1, p.1 2-13). For more details, also see annex to this letter.
law. As risk managers, the European Commission and EU governments must act on scientific uncertainty and apply the precautionary principle. Furthermore, an assessment of the socio-economic impacts of GM crops must be firmly included in the authorization process, alongside environmental risk assessment.

We urge you to bring these important matters to the attention of the European Commission and to ensure that EFSA’s risk assessment for genetically modified plants is scientifically rigorous. No genetically modified plant should be authorised as long as its risk assessment does not comply with the strict requirements of EU law. This is in the interest of European consumers and the environment.

Yours sincerely,

Christoph Then,
Executive director,
Test Biotech

Magda Stoczkiewicz,
Director, Friends of the Earth Europe

Pete Riley,
Campaign Director GM Freeze, UK

Mahi Sideridou,
Greenpeace European Unit Managing Director

Josef Settele, Chairman
of Butterfly Conservation Europe

Miguel López
Secretary General
COAG

Ricarda Steinbrecher,
Co-Director EcoNexus

Doug Knight, Project Manager, Eco Ruralis Association

Rodrigo Gouveia,
Secretary General of EuroCoop

Pieter de Pous, EU Policy Director European Environmental Bureau

Walter Haefeker,
President, European Professional Beekeepers

Gabriella Zanzanaini,
Director of European Affairs for Food & Water Europe

Helen Wallace,
Director GeneWatch UK

Marco Schlueter,
Director of IFOAM EU Group

Ramona Duminiciolu,
Director, INFOMG Romania

Leif Mueller, Executive Director, NABU

Hans Mulkerman,
Coordinator, Pesticide Action Network

Benedit Haelin,
Coordinator, SaveourSeeds

Varkrunkenlsen, responsible for networking and lobby work, Wervel